Research Article

Gabapentin for Phonasthenia: A prospective Cohort Study

Effat A. Zaki

Department Phoniatrics, Minia University

Abstract

Key words: Phonasthenia, Gabapentin, non-organic voice disorder

Introduction

Kotby⁽¹⁾ reported that phonasthenia is a dysphonia that is felt in the neck and throat rather than heard by patients and their listeners. Hegazi^(*) reported that phonatheniais frequently experienced by individuals with high vocal demands. It may also be described by the patients as status in which voice is not coping with demands of daily life activities but patients typically report worsening of symptoms with extended voice $use^{(r)}$. Relative incidence of phonasthenia was $\frac{50}{2}$ among non-organic dysphonia in a one-year study from the Ain Shams, Unit of Phoniatrics $(N = \sqrt{\xi \Lambda})^{(\xi)}$. Yiu^(°) also found that the five most common symptoms, as reported by at least half of the practicing teachers who complained of voice problems, were dry throat, change of voice shortness of breath, inability to sing high pitch and vocal tiredness.

Phonasthenia is expected to develop more readily in individuals whose laryngeal musculature and mucous membranes are usually sensitive. In these cases, only a small departure from optimal phonatory conditions may lead to vocal fatigue⁽¹⁾.Vocal fatigue is used to denote negative vocal adaptation that occurs as a consequence of prolonged voice use, indicating undesirable or unexpected changes in the functional status of the laryngeal mechanism^(V).

Phonasthenia, like all functional voice disorders, is considered as multifactorial in origin. Long standing vocal abuse, misuse, smoking and exposure to environmental pollution are common predisposing factors. Also, Phonasthenia may result from psychological stress or anxiety⁽¹⁾. In addition, poor physical condition, improper hydration, chronic disease or illness, generalized fatigue and allergies have been associated etiologically with phonasthenia^(1, A), Also frequent upper respiratory tract infections, subacute and chronic cough also have been associated etiological factors⁽³⁾.

It is hypothesized that two distinct types of vocal fatigue occur: muscle fatigue and tissue fatigue. Muscle fatigue happens when the muscles of the vocal system are overused. Tissue fatigue is caused by excessive destruction of the cells in the vocal fold tissues^(V). Auditory perceptual assessment of voice is generally normal, but it may be strained or breathy. Laryngeal examination is normal, but it may reveal increased vascular marking in the mucosa. Subtle stroboscopic deviations in the form of asymmetries of the glottal mucosal wave may be observed⁽¹⁾.

Titze^(``) hypothesized a number of physiological and biomechanical mechanisms that may be important contributors to vocal fatigue; these are neuromuscular fatigue, increased vocal fold viscosity, non-muscular tissue strain, reduced blood circulation, and respiratory muscle fatigue. Kotby^(*) attributed the pharyngeal and throat sensations of soreness to the shared sensory supply of the vocal folds with the pyriform fossae and the anterior wall of the hypo- pharynx. Yoshida^(``) explained that those feelings are representing a laryngeal referred pain.

Lee and Woo $^{(11)}$ had presented 1 patients who had chronic cough or throat-clearing as a manifestation of sensory neuropathy involving the superior or recurrent laryngeal nerve. They had been identified as having sudden-onset cough, laryngospasm, or throat-clearing after viral illness, surgery, or an unknown trigger. Seventyone percent of the patients had concomitant superior laryngeal nerve or recurrent laryngeal nerve motor neuropathy documented by laryngeal electromyography or video stroboscopy. These patients were treated with gabapentin at $1 \cdot \cdot to 9 \cdot \cdot mg/d$. Symptomatic relief was achieved in ¹/₁/₂ of the patients. The authors claimed that sensory neuropathy of the recurrent laryngeal nerve or superior laryngeal nerve should be considered in the workup for chronic cough or laryngeal irritability. Moreover, they added that symptomatic management of patients with cough and laryngospasm due to a suspected sensory neuropathy may include the use of antiseizure medications such as gabapentin.

Also, Norris and schweinfurth⁽¹⁷⁾ mentioned that patients with suspected neuropathy of the recurrent laryngeal nerve frequently appear to have better outcomes with neuromodulator therapy.

Chung^{$(1 \pm)$} mentioned that chronic cough is a neuropathic condition that could be secondary to sensory nerve damage caused by inflammatory, infective and allergic factors. They reported that recent success in the treatment of chronic cough with agents used for treating neuropathic pain such as gabapentin and amitryptiline would also support this concept. Greene and Simpson⁽¹⁵⁾</sup> stated that although</sup>gabapentin was approved for use in 1997 as adjunctive therapy for partial seizures, but also, it is currently estimated that gabapentin is prescribed for off-label uses in $\wedge \cdot / \cdot$ of cases. Gabapentin given the limited therapeutic options in patients with severe idiopathic chronic cough, it was believed reasonable to try gabapentin because it is well tolerated, has a wide margin of safety, and has no significant drug interactions. Although gabapentin was designed as a GABA-mimetic agent capable of crossing the blood-brain barrier, the effects of gabapentin in epilepsy do not seem to be mediated through interaction with GABA receptors and the exact mechanism of action remains controversial.

To the best of our knowledge no research work was carried out on the effect of gabapentin as a neuromodulator on phonasthenia and other non-organic voice disorders.

Subjects and Method Patients:

This study included \cdot patients complained of phonastneic symptoms and were diagnosed as non-organic voice disorders (phonasthenia).

This group study was divided into subgroups:

Group A: Included ξ · patients complained of phonathenic symptoms and received medical treatment in the form of gabapentin $r \cdot m$ capsule daily for r - 7 months. They were h males ($\epsilon \circ \lambda$) and $r \cdot r$ females ($\circ \circ \lambda$), with a mean age of $r \circ \epsilon \pm h \cdot r$. and a range of $h \circ \mu$ years to $\circ h$ years.

Group B: Included \checkmark patients (control group) complained of phonasthenic symptoms and received placebo capsule daily for \urcorner months in the form of gelatin capsule filled with sugar. This group included \land patients. They were \urcorner males ($\natural \circ \land$) and $\land \uparrow$ females ($\circ \circ \land$), with a mean age of $\urcorner \lor . \pounds \pm) \urcorner . \land$ and a range of $\urcorner \lor$ years to $\natural \land$ years.

These patients were selected from outpatient's clinic of Phoniatrics and ENT, Minia University hospital, in the period from January $7 \cdot 1^{\xi}$ to July, $7 \cdot 1^{\xi}$.

Methods:

Each individual of both groups was subjected to the following protocols of assessment and all the patients signed consent to be enrolled in the study.

[A]- The full voice evaluation protocol in the Phoniatric Unit, Minia University Hospital⁽¹⁾ which includes:

I- Elementary Diagnostic Procedures: i) Patient Interview:

This includes personal data of the patients, then, analysis of the patient's complaint as regards the onset, course and duration followed by asking about the phonasthenic symptoms (Voice fatigue, frequent throat clearing, globus sensation and tenderness at the larynx). Predisposing factors for voice disorders werereported for all patients, they include type of job, excessive use of voice, temperament, emotional stress, smoking, spirits, repeated upper respiratory tract infection and its frequency, allergic tendencies, hyperacidity, reflux, medicaments, surgical interference and trauma.

ii) Auditory Perceptual Assessment (APA):

After careful listening to the patient's voice by three trained phoniatrician, for the presence or absence of dysphonia, the grade of dysphonia, character of voice, pitch changes, loudness, glottal attack and affection of associated laryngeal functions could be determined using the modified GRBAS scale⁽¹¹⁾.

II- Clinical Diagnostic Aids:

All patients included in the study underwent Telescopic rigid laryngoscopy (Henke-Sass Wolf angle \mathfrak{r}) in the Phoniatrics unit at Minia University Hospital. The voices of all patients were recorded and analyzed auditory by \mathfrak{r} expert phoniatricians to assess the grade of dysphonia.

[B]- The Voice Handicap Index (VHI): Malki et al.,^(1V)

All individualsincluded in the study completed the questions of VHI with or without the researcher'shelp. The Arabic VHI is reliably applied to the Arabicspeaking population, as it can help in estimating the degree of voice disorder severity. The Arabic version of the VHI is a valid and reliable tool for assessment of patients' self-perception of voice handicap. It has also been shown to be sensitive for a wide variety of voice disorders. This selfadministered questionnaire consists of ". questions; the patient responds according to the appropriateness of each item (\cdot = none to ξ = always). The Arabic VHI is scored from \cdot to $\gamma \gamma \cdot$ with the latter representing the maximum perceived disability due to voice difficultiesbased on the patient response.

- Functional scale: mild if >1, moderate if>17, severe if >1A.
- Physical scale: mild if >1°, moderate if >1^, severe if >17.
- Emotional scale: mild if >^A, moderate if >^Y, severe if >^Y.

So the VHI total score can be divided into mild if $>^{\pi\pi}$, moderate if $>^{\xi\xi}$ and severe if $>^{\chi\chi}$.

(C) Treatment protocol for sensory neuropathic cough

All the patients of group A were asked to receive medical treatment in the form of $\forall \cdot \cdot$ mg oral gabapentin according to the following protocol. Ethics approval (protocol $\#\circ\xi^{\uparrow}\cdot$) for case neuropathy was

Gabapentin for Phonasthenia: A prospective cohort study

obtained from the institutional review board of Advocate Healthcare. Consent was waived for this retrospective study, Bastian; $^{(1A)}$ Table (1).

Table (1): Treatment protocol for sensory neuropathic

Treatment protocol for sensory neuropathic

To make sure gabapentin is safe for patient, these investigation was done before start Gabapentin kidney function (UREA and CREATININEE); RANDOM blood SUGER; liver functional SGOT SGPT complete blood picture

Known side effects were explained to patients who had a diagnosis of non- organic voice disorder- phonathenia patients willing to accept the risks participated.

Step ': First-line medication trial Day': ' • • mg orally with the evening meal Day': ' • • mg orally with the evening meal Days" for ' months: " • • mg orally with the evening meal

Step ': Phone follow-up, either '' days after starting the medication. At every phone follow-up, the patient must supply: name of current medication, dose, and duration of use; percent reduction of symptoms globally; side effects, if any; questions, if any; and best contact information.

Results

Methods of statistical analysis:

Data were collected, revised, verified, coded, then entered PC for statistical analysis done by using SPSS statistical package version ^{YY}.

) Demographic data:

The (study group) consisted of $\uparrow \cdot$ patients complaining of phonasthenia. This group was divided to subgroups:

Group A: Included $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\cdot}$ patients complained of phonasthenic symptoms and had received medical treatment in the form of Gabapentin $\stackrel{\tau}{\cdot}$ mg daily for $\stackrel{\tau}{\cdot}$ months according to the response of treatment. Group B: Included $\stackrel{\tau}{\cdot}$ patients complained of phonasthenic symptoms and revived placebo Capsule once daily for $\stackrel{\tau}{\cdot}$ months. Both groups were statically matched in comparative data age and sex distribution. Non- statistical significant difference was obtained between patients of the group (A) and group (B) as regards the age and gender ($P \ge \cdot \cdot \circ$). The patients included in group A were \wedge males ($\varepsilon \circ \lambda$) and $\forall \forall$ females ($\varepsilon \circ \lambda$), with mean age of $\forall \circ \cdot \xi \pm \forall \forall \cdot \forall$ and a

range of 19 years to objects, while individuals in group B were 9 males (\mathfrak{so}) and 11 females (\mathfrak{so}), with a mean age of $\mathfrak{rv}, \mathfrak{t} \pm \mathfrak{r}$. And a range of \mathfrak{rr} years \mathfrak{sh} year (Tables \mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{r}).

Table	(٢):	: Com	parison	of age	distribution	between	group A a	nd B
	· / ·					~~~~~	B- 0	

Age	Group A	Group B	t	P-value
	(n =ધ ⋅)	(n =ヾ・)		
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD		
	(Range)	(Range)		
Age	۳۰.٤ ±۱۳.۳	۳۷.٤±۱۲.۸		
(in years)	(19_01)	(٢٢-٤٨)	•.••٨	•_٢٣

Non-significant ($P \ge \cdots$), significant ($p < \cdots$), highly significant ($p < \cdots$)

Table ("): Co	mparison of	gender	distribution	between group	A and B

Gender	Group A (n= ^t ·)		Group B (n= ^Y ·)		t	P-value
	Freq.	%	Freq.	%		
Male	١٨	٤٥	٩	٤٥		
Female	22	00))	00	• • • • • •	•

Non- significant ($P \ge \cdots \circ$), significant ($p < \cdots \circ$), highly significant ($p < \cdots \circ$) No statistical significant difference was obtained between the \checkmark groups as regarding VHI grading before start medical treatment in the first visit ($P \ge \cdots \circ$) (Table^{ξ}).

VHI grading	Group A (n=٤ ·)	GroupB (n=ヾ)	t	P-value
VHI	۲۹ <u>+</u> ۲۲	۲۰ ± ۱۸	• .• ٤٦	<٠.٠٠١*

Non-significant ($P \ge \cdots$), significant ($p < \cdots$), highly significant ($p < \cdots$)

Dose of Gabapentin/Result of Therapy in group A

Twenty three patients resolved completely of phonasthenic symptoms after r months on regimen dose $r \cdot r mg/day$ of Gabapentin and the patients followed up for r months period. Five patients resolved completely of phonathenic symptoms after ϵ months on regimen dose $r \cdot r mg/day$ of Gabapentin and they followed up for r months. Six patients resolved completely of phonasthenic symptoms after 7 months on regimen dose $7 \cdot \cdot mg/day$ of Gabapentin. Two patients reported partial symptoms relieve and they thought it was ineffective after 7 months use, however, they got more worse after stopping of the drug. Two patients stopped medication after 4 weeks because of fatigue. Two patients had completed the course of treatment for 7months without any improvements and they discontinued medication use. Table (°):

No of patient/ 5 ·	Dose of Gabapentin	Result of Therapy
۲۳ (۲۰ ٥٪)	\cdots mg/ day / for \neg months	Symptoms completely resolved
٥ (٢١٠)	"•• mg/ day / for \mathfrak{t} months	Symptoms completely resolved
۲ (۱۰٪)	\cdots mg/ day / for \neg months	Symptoms completely resolved
۲ (٥٪)	۳۰۰ mg/ day / for ۳ months	Two patients reported partial symptoms relieve and they thought it was ineffective after r months use, however, they got more worse after stopping of the drug
۲(٥٪)	۳۰۰ mg/ day / for ٤ weeks	Stopped medication after [£] weeks because of fatigue.
۲(٥٪)	۳۰۰ mg/ day / for ۳ months	Two patients had completed the course of treatment for " months without any improvements and they discontinued medication use.

There was high statistically significant difference as regards VHI between patients in group A, However; there was nonsignificant difference as regards VHI between patients in group B after r months of medication (table 1).

Table (٦): Comparison of	VHI between groups A	and B after stat	medication with [¶] months

VHI grading	Group A (n=٣٨)		P-value	Group B (n=ヾ)		P- value
	before	after		before	after	
VHI	۲۹ <u>+</u> ۲۲	۲۰±۲۸	<,	۱۸ <u>+</u> ۱۸	۰.±٤٥	<

Non-significant ($P \ge \cdots$), significant ($p < \cdots$), highly significant ($p < \cdots$)

After 7 months follow up, there was high statistically significant difference as regards VHI score in group A. While, there was

non-significant difference as regards VHI score in group B (table \forall)

VHI grading	Grou (n=	up A ¥£)	P-value	Group B (n= ^Y ·)		P-value
	۳ Months	۲ months		۳ Months	۲ months	
VHI	۲·±۲۸	٥±۱۳	<•.••1*	۶.±٤٥	۰.±٤١	< •. ٦٥٨

Non-significant ($P \ge \cdots \circ$), significant ($p < \cdots \circ$), highly significant ($p < \cdots \circ$)

alleviating patient's complaints in comparison to the placebo effect. Also the present results highly suggestive of the etiological background of phonasthenia as a neuropathy that might affect the superior and/or recurrent laryngeal nerve. Some patients did not respond well to the current medication and few patients did not respond at all to the current medication this might highlight the presence of comorbid factors associated with the occurrence of phonasthenia as misuse and abuse of voice or other factors that need more investigations.

The current findings in an agreement to the study done by Kotby^(†)who attributed the pharyngeal and throat sensations of soreness to the shared sensory supply of the vocal folds with the pyriform fossae and the anterior wall of the hypo- pharynx. Moreover, Yoshida⁽¹¹⁾claimed that those feelings are representing a laryngeal referred pain because of involvement of superior laryngeal nerve neuropathy.

According to the present study, we think that phonasthenia associated with unexplained cough is due to affection of the sensory supply to the larvnx as consequences of neuropathic changes that might occur as a result of viral neuritis or other biomechanical factors. This hypothesis is strengthened by the study carried out by Lee and $Woo^{(1)}$, who presented γ_{Λ} patients with chronic cough and/or throat-clearing as a manifestation of sensory neuropathy involving the superior or recurrent laryngeal nerve. They had been identified as having sudden-onset cough, laryngospasm, or throat-clearing after viral illness. Cough and laryngospasm were the most common complaints. YV% of the patients had concomitant superior larvngeal nerve or recurrent laryngeal nerve motor neuropathy documented by laryngeal electromyography or video stroboscopy. So, we think that sensory neuropathy of the recurrent laryngeal nerve or superior laryngeal nerve should be considered in the workup for patients presented with phonasthenia, chronic cough or laryngeal irritability. Also according to the current study, Symptomatic management of

Discussion

In the current study, the patients diagnosed with phonasthenic manifestations were followed up for symptomatic improvement after initiation of treatment with a neuromodulator (Gabapentin). Treatment outcome was defined by improvement or resolution of symptoms on an objective self-assessment by with voice handicap index.

Sixty patients was identified and exhibited evidence of phonasthenia (non-organic voice disorder) according to protocol of voice assessment. Forty patients were treated with neuromodulator therapy (Gabapentin) over a mean follow-up period of \neg months. The median dose of Gabapentin was $"\cdot \cdot$ mg once daily for "- months period. The control group (\neg · patients) with phonathenic symptoms was received placebo for the same duration.

We found that, there were high statistically significant differences as regards VHI between group A patients in comparison to group B patients after γ months of medical treatment with gabapentin "... mg daily. Moreover, after 7 months of follow up, there was high statistically significant difference as regards VHI between group A patients in comparison to group B patients. In the current study, $\Upsilon (\circ \vee . \circ ?)$ of the patients completely resolved of phonasthenic symptoms after \forall months on regimen of $\overline{r} \cdot mg$ Gabapentin and about $\wedge \circ /$ of patients in group A have been resolved completely of phonasthenic symptoms after 7 months treatment by ۳۰۰mg Gabapentin. This marked improvement in patients symptoms might be explained by the fact that vocal focal fatigue and other phonasthenic mani festations namely, globus sensation and frequent throat clearing are the results of neuropathy that affects the superior laryngeal nerve and/or recurrent laryngeal nerve that could responded well to neuromodulator. However in group B (Placebo group) slight improvement occurs but it was non-significant. These results proved the effectiveness of gabapentin in

fatigue. Two patients had completed the course of treatment for \forall months without any improvements and they discontinued medication use.Patients partially responded or not responded at all to the current medication might be explained by the fact that not all cases of phonasthenia were due to neuropathy of the mentioned nerves but it may be due to other biomedical factors as misuse and abuse of voice or associated laryngopharyngeal reflux or post nasal drip. These results matched to a study done by Greene and Simpson^(1°) who reported that among their patients, one patient discontinued gabapentin temporarily because of fatigue. Another patient had transient drowsiness that resolved after one week. This parallels the experience in gabapentin treatment for epilepsy and neuropathic pain in which side effects commonly resolved within γ weeks of initiating therapy and approximately \.o% of patients guit controlled studies of gabapentin because of side effects.

In the current study, $\gamma\gamma(\circ\gamma,\circ)$ patients resolved completely of phonasthenic symptoms after \forall months on regimen dose of $\nabla \cdot \cdot mg/day$ of Gabapentin and they maintained improvement for a follow up period of 7 months. five (17.0%) patients resolved completely of phonasthenic symptoms after ξ months on regimen dose $\gamma \cdot \cdot mg/day$ of Gabapentin and continue follow up for 7 months. Six (10%) patients presented by phonasthenia together with throat pain and excessive throat clearing and they needed a longer course of treatment. They resolved completely of phonasthenic symptom after 7 months on regimen dose $\tilde{\forall} \cdot \cdot mg/day$ of Gabapentin. These results suggested that some patients might need longer course of treatment by gabapentin for 7 months when they not responded well to the γ months period. Those patients might be associated with, excessive misuse and abuse of voice with excessive muscles tension lead to some degree of neuropathy in the superior laryngeal nerve which presented with excess of throat clearing, throat pain referred to ear. These results are in agreement with that reported by Norris and

patients with phonasthenia associated with cough and laryngospasm due to a suspected sensory neuropathy may include the use of ant-seizure medications such as gabapentin.

Symptomatic relief was achieved in $\circ V \cdot \circ$? of the patients after ^{\circ} months on gabapentin medications. This might explain the effectiveness of gabapentin to control neuropathy of the affected nerves. Also, Greene and Simpson^(1°) pointed to post viral sensory neuropathy as a possible cause for refractory chronic cough and vagal neuropathy may affect the sensory branches, inducing chronic cough or laryngospasm.

Gabapentin was approved for use in 1997 as adjunctive therapy for partial seizures. Currently, it is estimated that gabapentin is prescribed for off-label uses in A.Y. of cases. Although gabapentin was designed as a GABA-mimetic agent capable of crossing the blood-brain barrier, the effects of gabapentin in epilepsy do not seem to be mediated through interaction with GABA receptors and the exact mechanism of action remains controversial. There is no explanation for its effect on chronic cough. It is not surprising that there is variability in the response to gabapentin, because it is probable that there are many different causes for "idiopathic" chronic cough. Also, recent success in the treatment of chronic cough with agents used for treating neuropathic pain such as gabapentin and amitryptiline would also support this concept. Chung⁽¹⁵⁾

Titze^(``) hypothesized a number of physiological and biomechanical mechanisms that may be important contributors to vocal fatigue; these are neuromuscular fatigue, increased vocal fold viscosity, non-muscular tissue strain, reduced blood circulation, and respiratory muscle fatigue.

Our results found that two patients reported partial symptoms relieve and they thought it was ineffective after r months use; however, they got moreworse after stopping of the drug. Two patients stopped medication after ϵ weeks because of of speaking in noise on vocal fatigue and vocal recovery. Folia Phoniatr.; $r_1: 1 \cdot \xi_{-1} \in I$

- V- Welham N.V. and Maclagan M.A. (۲۰۰۳): Vocal fatigue: Current knowledge and future directions. J Voice; 1V(1): ۲۱-۳۰.
- A- Sataloff R.T. (1947): The professional voice, Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery; The C.V. Mosby Company, St.Louis, Toronto. 1.76.70.
- ⁹- Kotby M.N. (^Y · ^Y): The syndrome of vocal fatigue, phonasthenia; concept, clinical profile and management. Presentation at the ^{oth} International Congress of the World Voice Consortium, Luxor, Egypt.
- 1.- Titze I.R. (1995): Principles of voice production.Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- ۱۱- Yoshida Y (۱۹۹۲): Peripheral nervous system in the larynx. Folia Phoniatr.; ٤٤: ۱۹٤-۲۱۹.
- Y- lee B, and Woo P (Y···o): Chronic cough as a sign of laryngeal sensory neuropathy: diagnosis and treatment. Ann Otol Rhino Laryngol, Aprl 115 (5): YoY-V
- ۱۳- Norris BK, and schweinfurth (۲۰۱۰): Management of recurrent laryngeal sensory neuropathic symptoms. Ann Otol Rhino Laryngol, March ۱۱۹(۳):۱۸۸-۹۱
-) ξ Chung KF ($\gamma \cdot \gamma \xi$): Approach to chronic cough: the neuropathic basis for cough hypersensitivity syndrome, J Thorac Dis, Oct, $\gamma(\gamma):\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$
- ¹°- Greene Sm and Simpson CB (^(,)):Evidence for sensory neuropathy and pharmacologic. Ann Otol Rhino Laryngol, Feb, ^{ξ}⁽⁾:^{<math>(,)}.^{<math>(,)}.</sup></sup></sup></sup>
- ۱٦- Kotby M.N. (۱۹۸٦): Voice disorders: Recent diagnostic advances. Egyptian Journal of Otolaryngology; (۱): ٦٩-٩٨.
- Y- Malki KH, Mesallam TA, Farahat M, Bukhari M, Murry T. (Y·)·): Validationand cultural modification of Arabic voice handicap index. Eur ArchOtorhinolaryngol; YTY: 1YET-1Y01.

schweinfurth^(\vert v) who conducted a retrospective case series of patients who had symptoms of sensory neuropathy, including persistent dysphonia, laryngospasm, and chronic cough. $\vee \circ ?$ exhibited evidence of motor neuropathy on laryngoscopy and $\wedge r ?$ had symptoms related to chronic cough treated with neuromodulator therapy.

In the current study, the mean time from the initiation of therapy to get a complete response was τ months. Patients with suspected neuropathy of the recurrent laryngeal nerve manifested by phonasthenic manifestations frequently respond to neuromodulator therapy.

Conclusion: gabapentin appeared to be effective with varying degrees for individual cases of phonasthenic symptoms; across a whole cohort, symptom relief was with average dose $\forall \cdot \cdot$ mg daily.

References

- 1- Kotby M.N. (1990): The accent method of voice therapy. Singular Publishing Group. Inc. San Diego, California.
- Y- Hegazi M., Nassar J., Saber A., Ghandour H. and AbolOyoun A. (Y··V): The effects of some vocal hygienic strategies on voice performance of logopedists during a working day. Sc. J. Az. Med. Fac. (Girls); YA(1): ٤٣٥-٤٤٣.
- ^r- Kelchner, L.N., Lee, L. and Stemple, J.C. $({}^{\tau} \cdot \cdot {}^{\tau})$: Laryngeal function and vocal fatigue after prolonged reading in individuals with unilateral vocal fold paralysis. J Voice; ${}^{1}V({}^{t}):{}^{\circ}{}^{1}T {}^{\circ}{}^{\Lambda}$.
- Kotby M.N. (⁽⁽⁾⁾): The syndrome of vocal fatigue, phonasthenia; concept, clinical profile and management. Presentation at the ^{oth} International Congress of the World Voice Consortium, Luxor, Egypt.
- Yiu E.M. (****): Impact and prevention of voice problems in the teaching profession: embracing the consumers' view. J Voice; 17: *10-***.
- 1- Neils L.R. and Yairi E. (1917): Effects

M-Bastian RW, Vaidya Am and Delsupehe KG (Y···T): Sensory neuropathic cough: a common and treatable cause of chronic cough. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery MTO(1):1Y-T1